

~~SECRET~~

14 July 1950

COAPS STUDY OF THE STUDY

(To be used within a few days at our discussions with the Exec., ORE, and General Counsel when drafting the DCI reply to State)

*Dear Mr. Well:*

*As you know* 1. ~~The~~ CIA and the DCI were established by the National Security Act of 1947 and given thereby certain responsibilities but not authority (as pointed out in the Dulles Report). Accordingly, matters can be resolved only by mutual agreement, i.e. watered-down versions of "Directives", expensive duplication, minimum change from established parochial agency interests to participation in national interests, etc.

This study advocates throughout the "co-operative process" co-operation rather than coordination, which latter implies a certain amount of "direction".

*In fact it is now* 2. This State-Defense Study proposes to give both responsibility

and authority to a new Committee composed of the various Directors of Intelligence, no longer an Intelligence Advisory Committee (as approved by NSC50 and the Dulles Report) but rather a new National Intelligence Authority. CIA thus becomes just an additional intelligence agency and the DCI's responsibilities in this Study are limited to providing headquarters, staffs and facilities for the new Committee and to the dissemination of the final Estimates and Studies only, prepared by the staffs responsible to the new Committee. The new committee is given the responsibility to initiate, approve, review, recommend and to be responsible collectively for Estimates, Studies.

3. The new National Intelligence Group is called an "organic part of CIA", But this new 7th Office of CIA is responsible to and works for the new Committee through the DCI only.

Committee would be similar to the former Central Intelligence Group to the former National Security Authority before the establishment of the National Security Council.

~~SECRET~~

New P2  
to be used

and also the references to  
"confusion in CIA". There undoubtedly  
is some in this <sup>area</sup>, but there would be  
less if the Departments of State and Defense  
would reply in their manner to the CIA  
proposal plan on reorganizing the Operating  
Offices submitted <sup>for</sup> ~~for~~ <sup>as</sup> a proposal to implement  
the mandatory instructions in Paragraph  
— of NSC 52, confirmed by Security  
Council action. The present  
proposal from the Dept's of State and  
Defense seems to be based partly  
on paragraphs —— of NSC 52, which  
gave the Director of Central Intelligence  
the option on the organization of the  
production of Estimates.

~~SECRET~~

4. The missions of the Departmental Agencies are to support  
~~and its National Staff, a group composed of~~  
 the new Committee, its National Estimates Staff and its Current  
~~Intelligence Staff -- not to support CIA and the DCI -- and CIA is~~  
 given a similar "mission".

5. It is thought that even the NSC cannot so distort the intent of Congress and the National Security Act of 1947 as amended.

D the NSC  
 If it wishes to have a National Intelligence Authority in lieu of an ~~Intelligence~~  
~~without~~ <sup>such</sup> ~~Intelligence~~ <sup>Advisory</sup>  
 rfa CIA, or superimposed on ~~any~~ <sup>the Agency</sup>, appropriate legislation should be proposed.

I believe that under existing Regulation pertaining to this Agency, the NSC does not have the authority to give ~~such~~ power to a Committee of Intelligence Directors and to submit the responsibilities of the Director of Central Intelligence to such a committee. I should like to hear this matter clarified as I believe it would be of benefit to us all.

~~SECRET~~